UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/972,814	10/05/2001	Hyun-Woo Lee	678-0752	6754
	7590 05/20/201 L LAW FIRM, LLP	EXAMINER		
290 Broadhollo		LY, ANH VU H		
Suite 210E Melville, NY 1	1747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2472	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/20/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/972,814	LEE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	ANH-VU H. LY	2472	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>05 April 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AI	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperent for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavireal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ster than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in completing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw);	ΓE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	-
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	ided below or appended.	r be entered and an e.	хріапацоп оі
Claim(s) objected to: <u>4</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3,5,7,8,11,12,14,15,17,18,21,22,24,25</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	5,27 and 38-41.		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
10.		•	
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	, , , , ,	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Anh-Vu H Ly/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2472	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The amended claim 38 is maintained as rejected claim as stated in the previous Office Action dated January 5, 2010 even though "time" has been inserted before "multiplexing" in line 13 for clarification. Applicants argue in page 9 that Lamoureux teaches the switching can occur in any period of each time slot within a sub-frame, and in the present application, the swtiching occurs only in a non-transmission period of a last time slot within a sub-frame. Examiner respectfully disagrees. The part of the teachings of Lamoureux as relied by the Examiner is to point out that the switching occurs in non-transmission periods of the time slots or occurs in a non-transmission period of a last time slot, eventhough the non-transmission periods are followed by transmission periods (Fig. 5). The admitted prior art discloses that each time slot includes a transmission period followed by a non-transmission period or in other words, each time slot, including the last time slot, contains a guard period at the end of each time slot (Fig. 4). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of APA and Lamoureux to perform the switching only in a non-transmission period of a last time slot between subframes.